

On the Value Distribution of Artin-L-Series and the Functional Independence of Dedekind- ζ -Functions.

By Hartmut Bauer

E-mail: bauer@math.tu-berlin.de

*Technische Universität Berlin
FB 3 - Mathematik MA 8-1
Strasse des 17. Juni 136 D-10623 Berlin
Germany*

We generalize a theorem of Voronin [4] on the joint distribution of non-zero values of Dirichlet L-Functions to Artin-L-Functions. As a consequence we get the differential independence of ζ -functions of normal extensions of \mathbb{Q} .

1. MAIN THEOREM

We prove the following statement on Artin-L-series over \mathbb{Q} :

THEOREM 1.1. *Let K be a finite Galois-extension of \mathbb{Q} and χ_1, \dots, χ_n linearly independent characters of the group $G := \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$. Let $k := \#G$ and $f_1(s), \dots, f_n(s)$ be holomorphic functions on $|s| < r$ and continuous on $|s| \leq r$, where r is a fixed number $0 < r < \frac{1}{4k}$. Further suppose $f_j(s) \neq 0$ on $|s| \leq r$.*

Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a set $A_\epsilon \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{vol}(A_\epsilon \cap (0, T))}{T} > 0$$

and for $j = 1, \dots, n$

$$\forall t \in A_\epsilon \forall |s| \leq r : |L(s + 1 - \frac{1}{4k} + it, \chi_j) - f_j(s)| < \epsilon,$$

where $L(z, \chi_j)$ denotes the corresponding Artin-L-series.

As a consequence we find conditions for the functional independence of Dedekind- ζ -functions (Theorems 4.6 and 4.7) and the differential independence of Dedekind- ζ -functions (Theorem 4.8).

2. PREPARATION

Denote by \mathbb{P} the set of rational primes.

DEFINITION 2.1. Suppose that

$$F(s) = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} f_p(p^{-s})$$

where $f_p(z)$ is a rational function and the product converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$.

Then for any finite set $M \subset \mathbb{P}$ of primes and for any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{P}}$ we define

$$F_M(s, \theta) := \prod_{p \in M} f_p(p^{-s} e^{-2\pi i \theta_p}).$$

LEMMA 2.1. Suppose that $F_1(s), \dots, F_n(s)$ are analytic functions which are represented by products

$$F_j(s) = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} f_{p,j}(p^{-s})$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$, where $f_{p,j}(z) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{p,j}^{(m)} z^m$ are rational functions of z without poles in the disc $|z| < 1$. For all $\epsilon > 0$ there are constants $c(\epsilon) > 0$ with

$$|a_{p,j}^{(m)}| \leq c(\epsilon)p^{m\epsilon}.$$

Further suppose that they have an analytic continuation to the plane $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1 - 1/2k$ with at most one simple pole at $s = 1$.

Assume that

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_{-T}^T |F_j(\sigma + it)|^2 dt$$

is uniformly bounded for $\sigma \in (\alpha, 1)$ and $T \in \mathbb{R}^+$, if $\alpha \in (1 - \frac{1}{2k}, 1)$ is fixed. Let $M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \dots$ be finite sets of primes with $\mathbb{P} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} M_j$.

Suppose $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} F_{j, M_j}(s, \theta_j) = f_j(s)$ uniformly in $|s - (1 - \frac{1}{4k})| \leq r < \frac{1}{4k}$.

Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a set $A_\epsilon \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that for all j and all $t \in A_\epsilon$

$$\max_{|s - (1 - \frac{1}{4k})| \leq r} |F_j(s + it) - f_j(s)| < \epsilon$$

and

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{vol}(A_\epsilon \cap (0, T))}{T} > 0.$$

COROLLARY 2.1. Let $G_m(s) := \prod_{b=1}^{b=N_m} F_{m,b}(s) / \prod_{b=1}^{b=N_m^*} F_{m,b}^*(s)$. Suppose that the functions $F_{m,b}(s), F_{m,b}^*(s)$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 1.

Assume that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} G_{m, M_j}(s, \theta_j) = f_m(s)$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} F_{m,b, M_j}(s, \theta_j) = f_{m,b}(s)$.

Under the further condition that $\max_{m,b,s} |f_{m,b}(s)| > 0$ and

$f_m(s) = \prod_{b=1}^{b=N_m} f_{m,b}(s) / \prod_{b=1}^{b=N_m^*} f_{m,b}^*(s)$ for $|s| \leq r$ we have:

For any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a set $B_\epsilon \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that for all m and all $t \in B_\epsilon$

$$\max_{|s - (1 - \frac{1}{4k})| \leq r} |G_m(s + it) - f_m(s)| < \epsilon$$

and

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{vol}(B_\epsilon \cap (0, T))}{T} > 0.$$

Proof. The proof of the lemma is completely analogous to Voronin's proof in [4, p.256]. ■

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $0 < r < \frac{1}{4k}$ and χ_1, \dots, χ_n be linearly independent non-abelian characters of $G := \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ where K is a finite normal algebraic extension of \mathbb{Q} . Let $k := \#G$.*

Suppose that $f_1(s), \dots, f_n(s)$ are analytic for $|s| < r$ and continuous for $|s| \leq r$ and not zero on the disc $|s| \leq r$. Then for every pair $\epsilon > 0$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ there exists a finite set of primes M containing all primes smaller than y and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{P}}$ such that:

$$\max_{j=1}^n \max_{|s| \leq r} |L_M(s + 1 - \frac{1}{4k}, \chi_j, \theta) - f_j(s)| < \epsilon$$

For the proof we need the following theorem on conditionally convergent series

THEOREM 2.2. [4, p.352] *Suppose that a series of vectors $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n$ in a real Hilbert space \mathcal{H} satisfies $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|u_n\|^2 < \infty$ and for every $e \in \mathcal{H}$ with $e \neq 0$ the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle u_n, e \rangle$ converges conditionally.*

Then for any $v \in \mathcal{H}$ there is a permutation π of \mathbb{N} so that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_{\pi(n)} = v$ in the norm of \mathcal{H} .

and a theorem of Artin:

THEOREM 2.3 (Artin). [2, p.122] *If $\pi(C_j, x)$ is the number of primes in the class C_j smaller than x then: $\pi(x, C_j) = \frac{h_j}{k} \int_2^x \frac{dt}{\log t} + O(xe^{-a \log^{1/2} x})$ where a is some positive constant, $k = \#G$ and $h_j := \#C_j$.*

THEOREM 2.4 (Paley-Wiener). [1, p.166] *Let F be an entire function. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(x)|^2 dx < \infty$ and $\limsup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} |F(z)e^{-(\sigma+\epsilon)|z|}| < \infty$ for every $\epsilon > 0$
- (2) there is a function $f \in L^2(\sigma, -\sigma)$ such that $F(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\sigma}^{\sigma} f(u)e^{iuz} du$

THEOREM 2.5 (Markov). [1, p.314] *Let P be a polynomial of degree $\leq n$. Then $\max_{|x| \leq 1} |P'(x)| \leq n^2 \max_{|x| \leq 1} |P(x)|$.*

Proof (of Lemma 2.2).

Choose $\gamma > 1$ such that $\gamma^2 r < \frac{1}{4k}$ and

$$\forall_j : \max_{|s| \leq r} |f_j(s) - f_j(s/\gamma^2)| < \epsilon$$

Because $f_j(s) \neq 0$ we can write

$$f_j(s) = \exp(g_j(s)) \text{ for some } g_j(s) \text{ analytic in } |s| < \gamma^2 r.$$

Hence it is sufficient to prove the Lemma for the logarithms of the functions.

For Artin-L-series $L(s, \chi_j)$ the Euler-factors are defined by $1/\det(E_{k_j} - \rho_j(\sigma_p)p^{-s})$, where σ_p is one of the conjugate Frobenius-automorphisms over $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and $\rho_j : G \rightarrow GL_{k_j}(\mathbb{C})$ is a representation of G with $\chi_j(\sigma) = \text{trace}(\rho_j(\sigma))$.

For the Euler-factors of $L_M(s', \chi_j, \theta)$ we get:

$$-\log L_p(s', \chi_j, \theta) = \frac{\text{trace}(\rho_j(\sigma_p)) \exp(-2\pi i \theta_p)}{p^{s'}} + \sum_{m \geq 2} a_{m,p} p^{-ms'}$$

The first term is $\frac{\chi_j(\sigma_p) \exp(-2\pi i\theta_p)}{p^{s'}}$. Therefore

$$-\log L_M(s', \chi_j, \theta) = \sum_{p \in M} \frac{\chi_j(\sigma_p) e^{-2\pi i\theta_p}}{p^{s'}} + \sum_{p \in M} \sum_{m \geq 2} a_{m,p} p^{-ms'}$$

The second term is a uniformly and absolutely convergent series for all primes in \mathbb{Q} .

We define a real Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_n^{(R)}$ of holomorphic functions on the disc $|s| \leq R$ with the scalar product

$$\langle (h_j)_{j=1}^n, (f_j)_{j=1}^n \rangle := Re \int_{|s| \leq R} \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(s) \overline{h_j(s)} d\sigma dt.$$

Set $R := \gamma r$ ($\gamma < 1$) and $\eta_p(s) := \left(\frac{\chi_j(\sigma_p) \exp(-2\pi i\theta_p)}{p^{s'}} \right)_{j=1}^n$, where $s' = s + 1 - \frac{1}{4k}$ with $|s| \leq R$.

Denote the different conjugacy classes of the group G by C_1, \dots, C_N . Obviously $n \leq N$ since N is the dimension of the vectorspace of class functions on G .

Denote the different prime classes by $\mathbb{P}_j := \{p \mid \sigma_p \in C_j\}$.

To define θ : In the natural order of the sets \mathbb{P}_j such that

$p_{j,1} < p_{j,2} < p_{j,3} \dots$ set $\theta_{p_{j,i}} := \frac{i}{4}$. Thereby θ_p is defined for all primes.

We will use the above Theorem 2.2 on conditionally convergent series in Hilbert spaces.

We only need to show that the series $\eta_p, p \in \mathbb{P}$ fulfills the conditions of this theorem:

$\sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \|\eta_p\|^2 \leq kn \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} p^{\frac{1}{2k} - 2 + 2R} < \infty$. (obviously $\frac{1}{2k} - 2 + 2R < -(1 + \epsilon_1)$ for some small ϵ_1)

For e we can choose any $\varphi(s) \in \mathcal{H}_n^R$ with $\|\varphi(s)\| := \langle \varphi(s), \varphi(s) \rangle^{1/2} = 1$.

Now we have to show that

$$\sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \langle \eta_p, \varphi(s) \rangle$$

is conditionally convergent or equivalently,
that there exist two sets of primes \mathbb{P}_+ and \mathbb{P}_- such that
 $\forall p \in \mathbb{P}_+ : \langle \eta_p, \varphi(s) \rangle > 0, \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}_+} \langle \eta_p, \varphi(s) \rangle = \infty$, and
 $\sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}_-} \langle \eta_p, \varphi(s) \rangle = -\infty, \forall p \in \mathbb{P}_- : \langle \eta_p, \varphi(s) \rangle < 0$.

We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \eta_p, \varphi \rangle &= Re \int_{|s| \leq R} \sum_{j=1}^n \eta_{p,j}(s) \overline{\varphi_j(s)} d\sigma dt \\ &= Re \int_{|s| \leq R} \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_j(\sigma_p) e^{-2\pi i \theta_p} p^{-s'} \overline{\varphi_j(s)} d\sigma dt \\ &= Re(e^{-2\pi i \theta_p} \int_{|s| \leq R} p^{-(s+1-\frac{1}{4k})} (\sum_{j=1}^n \chi_j(\sigma_p) \overline{\varphi_j(s)}) d\sigma dt) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} |\langle \eta_p, \varphi \rangle| = 0.$$

Since the characters χ_j are linearly independent, there is a class C_l in G such that $\varphi_0 := \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_j(\sigma_p) \overline{\varphi_j(s)} \neq 0$ for all $\sigma_p \in C_l$.

As the functions φ_j are holomorphic in the disc $|s| \leq R$, we have

$$\varphi_0(s) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha_m s^m.$$

For $p \in C_l$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \eta_p, \varphi \rangle &= Re(e^{-2\pi i \theta_p} \int_{|s| \leq R} \exp(-\log(p)(s+1-\frac{1}{4k})) \overline{\varphi_0(s)} d\sigma dt) \\ &= Re(e^{-2\pi i \theta_p} \Delta(\log p)) \end{aligned}$$

Here $\Delta(x) := \int_{|s| \leq R} \exp(-x(s+1-\frac{1}{4k})) \overline{\varphi_0(s)} d\sigma dt$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(x) &= \exp\left(-x\left(1 - \frac{1}{4k}\right)\right) \int_{|s| \leq R} \exp(-xs) \overline{\varphi_0}(s) d\sigma dt \\ &= \pi R^2 \exp\left(-x\left(1 - \frac{1}{4k}\right)\right) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m \overline{\alpha}_m (xR^2)^m}{(m+1)!}\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\|\varphi_0\|^2 = \int_{|s| \leq R} |\varphi_0|^2 d\sigma dt = \pi R^2 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha_m|^2 R^{2m}}{m+1}.$$

Using the continuous linear form $L((f_j)_{j=1}^n) := \sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\chi_j(C_l)} f_j$ we get

$$\|\varphi_0\|^2 = \|L(\varphi)\|^2 \leq \|L\|^2 \|\varphi\|^2 = \|L\|^2.$$

This gives:

$$\pi R^2 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha_m|^2 R^{2m}}{m+1} = \|\varphi_0\|^2 \leq \|L\|^2.$$

Setting $\beta_m := (-1)^m R^m \overline{\alpha}_m / (m+1)$ we get $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |\beta_m|^2 \leq \|L\|^2 / (\pi R^2)$,

which gives us an upper bound for $|\beta_m|$.

Set

$$F(u) := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_m}{m!} u^m.$$

$F(u)$ is an entire function. For any $\delta > 0$ there is a sequence $u_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$|F(u_n)| > \exp\left(- (1 + 2\delta)u_n\right).$$

Suppose the contrary. Then for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$, some $A > 0$ and some small $\delta > 0$

$$|e^{(1+\delta)u} F(u)| < Ae^{-\delta|u|}.$$

Because

$$|e^{(1+\delta)z}F(z)| \leq e^{3|z|} \text{ we have for all } z \in \mathbb{C}$$

and as $e^{(1+\delta)z}F(z)$ is entire, by Theorem 2.4 we obtain a function f with support in $(-3, 3)$ such that

$$e^{(1+\delta)z}F(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u)e^{izu} du.$$

Because $|e^{(1+\delta)u}F(u)| \leq Ae^{-\delta|u|}$ for $u \in \mathbb{R}$, we get

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(1+\delta)u}F(u)e^{-izu} du,$$

where the equality holds almost everywhere for $z \in \mathbb{R}$. This integral converges absolutely for $|Im(z)| < \delta/2$. So it defines an analytic function near the real axis. Therefore the support of f in \mathbb{R} can not be in the interval $(-3, 3)$. This contradicts the assumption.

We have $\Delta(x) = \pi R^2 \exp\left(-x\left(1 - \frac{1}{4k}\right)\right)F(xR)$. Set $x_n := u_n/R$. Then $|\Delta(x_n)| > \exp\left(-\left(1 - \delta_0\right)x_n\right)$ for $\delta_0 > 0$ sufficiently small.

As a consequence we find subintervals I_n of $[x_n - 1, x_n + 1]$ of length greater than $\frac{1}{2x_n^8}$ in which one of the the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} |Re\Delta(x)| &> \frac{e^{-(1-\delta_0)x}}{200} \text{ or} \\ |Im\Delta(x)| &> \frac{e^{-(1-\delta_0)x}}{200} \end{aligned}$$

holds.

To prove this we approximate Δ by polynomials. Set $N := [x_n] + 1$. Let B be an upper bound of the $|\beta_m|$. This gives $|F(xR)| \leq Be^{xR}$. For $x \in [x_n - 1, x_n + 1]$ we have (remember $R < r < 1/4k$)

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{m=N^2}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_m}{m!} (xR)^m \right| &\leq B \sum_{m=N^2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} (xR)^m \leq B \frac{(xR)^{N^2}}{N^2!} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} (xR)^m \\ &\leq B \frac{N^{N^2}}{N^2!} e^N \leq B \left(\frac{N}{N^2/e}\right)^{N^2} e^N \leq B \frac{e^{N^2+N}}{N^{N^2}} \leq e^{-2x_n} \end{aligned}$$

if x_n is sufficiently large.
Similarly for $x \in [x_n - 1, x_n + 1]$ we have

$$\sum_{N^2=m}^{\infty} \frac{\left(-\left(1-\frac{1}{4k}\right)x\right)^m}{m!} \leq e^{-2x_n} \text{ and } \exp\left(-\left(1-\frac{1}{4k}\right)x\right) \leq e^{(1-\frac{1}{4k})x}.$$

Hence $F(xR) = P_1(x) + O(e^{-2x_n})$ and $\exp\left(-\left(1-\frac{1}{4k}\right)x\right) = P_2(x) + O(e^{-2x_n})$, where P_1 and P_2 are polynomials of degree N^2 . This gives $\Delta(x) = P_n(x) + O(e^{-x_n})$ all $N = [x_n] + 1$ and $x \in [x_n - 1, x_n + 1]$, where $P_n(x)$ is a polynomial of degree less than N^4 . Since $|\Delta(x_n)| > \exp\left(-\left(1-\delta_0\right)x_n\right)$ we have $\frac{1}{2}e^{-(1-\delta_0)x_n} \leq |P_n(x_n)|$ for large n . Set $a := \max_{|x-x_n| \leq 1} |P_n(x)|$. Then there exists a $\xi \in [x_n - 1, x_n + 1]$ such that $a = |P_n(\xi)|$. There exists a $\kappa \in (\xi, x)$ or $\kappa \in (x, \xi)$ such that $|P_n(\xi) - P_n(x)| = |P_n'(\kappa)(x - \xi)|$. Set $\tau := |\xi - x|/N^8$. Then by theorem 2.5 we have $|P_n(\xi) - P_n(x)| \leq \tau a$. If $\tau \leq 1/2$ then $|1 - \frac{P_n(x)}{P_n(\xi)}| \leq 1/2$, therefore $|P_n(x)| \geq \frac{a}{2} \geq \frac{|P_n(x_n)|}{2} \geq \frac{1}{4}e^{-(1-\delta_0)x_n}$ for all x with $|x - \xi| \leq \frac{1}{2N^8}$. It follows that $|\Delta(x)| \geq \frac{1}{8}e^{-(1-\delta_0)x_n} \geq \frac{1}{8e^2}e^{-(1-\delta_0)x} \geq \frac{1}{100}e^{-(1-\delta_0)x}$ for large n .

For $p_r \in \mathbb{P}_l$, and $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_r < \dots$ we have $\theta_{p_r} = r/4$ which gives $e^{-2\pi i \theta_{p_r}} = i^r$. Therefore

$$\langle \eta_{p_r}, \varphi \rangle = \operatorname{Re}(i^r \Delta(\log(p_r))).$$

One of the inequalities above is satisfied infinitely often. Consider the interval $I_n := [\alpha + \beta, \alpha]$ such that on I_n one of the inequalities $|\operatorname{Im}(\Delta(x))| \geq \frac{1}{200}e^{-(1-\delta_0)x}$ or $|\operatorname{Re}(\Delta(x))| \geq \frac{1}{200}e^{-(1-\delta_0)x}$ holds and $\beta \geq \frac{1}{2x_n^8}$.

By theorem 2.3 the number of primes $p \in \mathbb{P}_l$ for which $\log p \in I_n$ is:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(e^{\alpha+\beta}, C_j) - \pi(e^\alpha, C_j) &= \frac{h_j}{k} \int_{e^\alpha}^{e^{\alpha+\beta}} \frac{dt}{\log t} + O(e^{\alpha+\beta} e^{-a\alpha^{1/2}}) \\ &\geq \frac{h_j}{k} e^\alpha \left(\frac{e^\beta - 1}{\alpha + \beta} + O\left(\frac{e^\beta}{e^{a\alpha^{1/2}}}\right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\beta > \frac{1}{2x_n^8}$, for x_n sufficiently large we get

$$\pi(e^{\alpha+\beta}, C_j) - \pi(e^\alpha, C_j) \geq \frac{h_j}{k} \frac{e^{x_n}}{x_n^{10}}$$

The number of primes p in the interval I_n with $\exp(-2\pi i\theta_p) = 1$, $\exp(-2\pi i\theta_p) = -1$, $\exp(-2\pi i\theta_p) = i$, or $\exp(-2\pi i\theta_p) = -i$ is therefore more than $\frac{h_j}{k} \frac{e^{x_n}}{4x_n^{1/2}}$.

Therefore

$$\sum_{\substack{p \in \mathbb{P}_I \cap I_n \\ \operatorname{Re}(e^{-2\pi i\theta_p} \Delta(\log p)) > 0}} \langle \eta_p, \varphi \rangle > c_1 e^{\delta_0 x_n / 2}$$

for some positive constant c_1 . The same holds for a subset of primes with $\operatorname{Re}(e^{-2\pi i\theta_p} \Delta(\log p)) < 0$, the sum is less than $c_1 e^{\delta_0 x_n / 2}$. As $x_n \rightarrow \infty$ the

corresponding series diverge to $+\infty$ and $-\infty$. ■

THEOREM 2.1. *Assume that a Dirichlet series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$ satisfies $a_n = O_\epsilon(n^\epsilon)$ for every $\epsilon > 0$. Suppose that this series converges for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ absolutely and can be analytically continued to the complex plane and has no pole for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 1/2$ except a simple pole at $s = 1$. Denote this function by $f(s)$. Suppose further that $|f(s)|^2 = O(|t|^M)$ for some $M := M(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s = \sigma + it$ where $|t| \geq 1$ and $\sigma \in [a, b]$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Then $\frac{1}{T} \int_{-T}^T |f(s+it)|^2 dt$ is bounded for every s with $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1 - 1/M$ and it is uniformly bounded for all s with $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq \alpha$ where $1 > \alpha > 1 - 1/M$ is some fixed number. We can choose $M = \inf\{m | f(s) = O(|t|^m)\}$.

Proof. Obviously there is a $\xi > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_{-T}^T |f(s)|^2 dt = O(T^\xi)$$

(take for example $\xi := M + 1$).

We denote the infimum of those ξ by μ .

Using a Lemma in [8, p.151], we get for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$, ($\delta > 0, c > 1, c > \sigma$)

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} e^{-\delta n} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \Gamma(w-s) f(w) \delta^{s-w} dw.$$

Because of the condition $a_n = O_\epsilon(n^\epsilon)$ the series on the left side of the equation is absolutely convergent for all $Re(s) > 0$ and therefore it is a holomorphic function in this plane. By Stirling's formula on the Γ -function we get $|\Gamma(s)| \leq C_{[a,b]} |t|^{\sigma-1/2} \exp(-\frac{\pi}{2}|t|)$, where $s = \sigma + it$ and $\sigma \in [a, b]$.

Therefore it follows from Cauchy's theorem, that the function

$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \Gamma(w-s) f(w) \delta^{s-w} dw$ is an analytic function for all $c > 0$ and $Re(s) > 0$, if $\sigma > \alpha > \sigma - 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \Gamma(w-s) f(w) \delta^{s-w} dw = \\ & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\alpha-i\infty}^{\alpha+i\infty} \Gamma(w-s) f(w) \delta^{s-w} dw + f(s) + \text{Res}_{w=1} \Gamma(w-s) f(w) \delta^{s-w} \end{aligned}$$

Set $B := \text{Res}_{s=1} f(s)$. Then we find for f the expression

$$f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} e^{-\delta n} - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\alpha-i\infty}^{\alpha+i\infty} \Gamma(w-s) f(w) \delta^{s-w} dw - B\Gamma(1-s)\delta^{s-1},$$

where $Re(s) \geq 1/2, \sigma > \alpha > \sigma - 1$.

Denote the first term on the right of the last equation by Z_1 and the second term by Z_2 .

We have $B\Gamma(1-s)\delta^{s-1} = O(|t|^{1-\sigma-1/2} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|t|} \delta^{\sigma-1})$. This implies $B\Gamma(1-s)\delta^{s-1} = O(|t|^{\pi/2} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|t|})$ if $\delta \geq |t|^{-\pi/2}, |t| \geq 1$ and $1/2 \geq \sigma \geq 1$.

If $\sigma \geq a > 1/2$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{T/2}^T |Z_1|^2 dt &= O\left(T \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_m|^2}{m^{-2\alpha}} e^{-2\delta m}\right) + O\left(\sum_{m \neq n} \frac{a_m \bar{a}_n e^{-(m+n)\delta}}{m^\sigma n^\sigma |\log(m/n)|}\right) \\
&= O_a(T) + O(\delta^{2\sigma-2-\epsilon})
\end{aligned}$$

for some small $\epsilon > 0$ since $a_n = O(n^\epsilon)$.

Set $w := \alpha + iv$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|Z_2| &\leq \frac{\delta^{\sigma-\alpha}}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Gamma(w-s)f(s)| dv \\
&\leq \frac{\delta^{\sigma-\alpha}}{2\pi} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Gamma(w-s)| dv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Gamma(w-s)f^2(w)| dv \right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

As the first integral is just an integral over the Γ -function, it is bounded. Assume $T \geq |t|$ (recall that $s = \sigma + it$). Set $I_T := (-\infty, -2T] \cup [2T, \infty)$:

$$\int_{I_T} |\Gamma(w-s)f^2(w)| dv = O\left(\int_{I_T} e^{\frac{\pi}{2}|v-t|} |v|^{-2M} dv\right) = O(e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}T})$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{T/2}^T |Z_2|^2 dt &= O\left(\delta^{2\sigma-2\alpha} \frac{T}{2} O(e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}T}) + \delta^{2\sigma-2\alpha} \int_{-2T}^{2T} |f(w)|^2 \left(\int_{T/2}^T |\Gamma(w-s)| dt\right) dv\right) \\
&= O(\delta^{2\sigma-2\alpha}) + O\left(\delta^{2\sigma-2\alpha} \int_{-2T}^{2T} |f(w)|^2 dv\right) = O(\delta^{2\sigma-2\alpha} T^{1+2M})
\end{aligned}$$

This gives (the bound above is uniform for $\sigma \geq a$):

$$\int_{T/2}^T |f(s)|^2 dt = O(T) + O(\delta^{2\sigma-2\alpha-\epsilon}) + O(\delta^{2\sigma-2\alpha} T^{1+\mu+\epsilon}).$$

Set $\delta := T^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1+\mu}{1-\alpha})}$, then for $\sigma > 1 - \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\mu}$ we get

$$\int_{T/2}^T |f(s)|^2 dt = O_a(T).$$

Adding up $\int_{T/2}^T |f(s)|^2 dt + \int_{T/4}^{T/2} |f(s)|^2 dt + \int_{T/8}^{T/4} |f(s)|^2 dt + \dots$ gives

$$\int_1^T |f(s)|^2 dt = O_a(T) \text{ and analogously } \int_{-T}^1 |f(s)|^2 dt = O_a(T).$$

For $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ and $M \rightarrow 1 + \mu$ we get $Re(s) > 1 - \frac{1}{M}$ as a sufficient condition

for $\frac{1}{T} \int_{-T}^T |f(s + it)|^2$ being bounded. ■

REMARK 2.1. For Hecke-L-series over a field k with $\mathbb{Q} \subset k \subset K$, where K is a finite normal extension of \mathbb{Q} the conditions of the Theorem 2.1 are satisfied with $M = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$.

Proof. Denote the Dirichlet-coefficients of the Hecke-L-series $L(s, \chi)$ by $a_n(\chi)$ and the Dirichlet coefficients of ζ_k by a_n . Then we have $|a_n(\chi)| \leq a_n$, where a_n is the number of ideals of norm n in the ring of integers of k . Therefore we have $|a_n| = O_\epsilon(n^\epsilon)$.

Every Hecke-L-series satisfies a functional equation.

$$\Lambda(s, \chi) := C^s \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)^a \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^{r_1-a} \Gamma(s)^{r_2} L(s, \chi),$$

where r_1 is the number of real embeddings of k , r_2 the number of complex embeddings of k , a is the number of infinite places of the conductor of χ and $C \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ is a constant. Then $r_1 + 2r_2 = [k : \mathbb{Q}] \leq [K : \mathbb{Q}]$. We have $\Lambda(s, \chi) = W \Lambda(1-s, \chi)$, where W is a root of unity. $L(s, \chi)$ is a holomorphic function for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$, if $L(s, \chi) \neq \zeta_k$. If $L(s, \chi) = \zeta_k$ there is a simple

pole at $s = 1$.

By a theorem of Lavrik [6, (p.133: Lemma 2.1)] we have:

$\Lambda(s, \chi) = \frac{c}{s(1-s)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n f(\frac{C}{n}, s) + W \bar{a}_n f(\frac{C}{n}, 1-s))$, where c is a constant for ζ_k and zero in all other cases.

$f(x, s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\delta-\infty i}^{\delta+\infty i} x^z \Gamma(\frac{z+1}{2})^a \Gamma(\frac{z}{2})^{r_1-a} \Gamma(z)^{r_2} \frac{dz}{z-s}$, where $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$\delta > \max\{\operatorname{Re}(s), 0\}$. If we take $\delta > \max\{\operatorname{Re}(s) + 1, 0\}$, then

$$|f(x, s)| \leq \frac{x^\delta}{2\pi} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{r_1} |\Gamma(\frac{\delta+it+s_k}{2})| \right) |\Gamma(\delta+it)|^{r_2} dt = C_\delta x^\delta$$

But this means for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \in [-1, 2]$ that $|\Lambda(s, \chi)| \leq C_\delta 2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n(\chi)| \frac{1}{n^\delta}$,

where $\delta > 3$. Therefore $|\Lambda(s, \chi)| \leq 2C_\delta \zeta_k(4)$. The same holds for ζ_k if we suppose that $|Im(s)|$ is big enough, such that we can ignore $\frac{c}{s(1-s)}$.

By the well known properties of the Γ -function we get therefore $L(s, \chi) = O(\exp(A|t|))$ and $\zeta_k(s) = O(\exp(A|t|))$ for every fixed strip $\operatorname{Re}(s) \in [a, b]$, $Im(s) = t$ and some $A \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$. To apply the Phragmen-Lindelof-principle [5], we must show that we have $L(s, \chi) = O(|t|^M)$ on the borders $\operatorname{Re}(s) = -\epsilon$ and $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 1 + \epsilon$ for large $t = Im(s)$ and every fixed small $\epsilon > 0$. This would imply that $L(s, \chi) = O(|t|^M)$ for all $\operatorname{Re}(s) \in [-\epsilon, 1 + \epsilon]$ and $|Im(s)| = |t| > 1$.

Then the series $L(s, \chi)$ and $\zeta_k(s)$ converges absolutely for all s with $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 1 + \epsilon$ and we have $|L(s, \chi)| \leq \zeta_k(1 + \epsilon)$ and $|\zeta_k(s)| \leq \zeta_k(1 + \epsilon)$. This is an absolute constant independent of $Im(s) = t$. By the functional equation we find that $|L(s, \chi)| = O_\epsilon(g(|t|))$ and $|\zeta_k(s)| = O_\epsilon(g(|t|))$ for s with $\operatorname{Re}(s) = -\epsilon$, where

$$g(|t|) = |\Gamma(\frac{s+1}{2})^a \Gamma(\frac{s}{2})^{r_1-a} \Gamma(s)^{r_2} / \Gamma(\frac{1-s+1}{2})^a \Gamma(\frac{1-s}{2})^{r_1-a} \Gamma(1-s)^{r_2}|.$$

Stirling's formula gives $|\Gamma(s)| = O(|t|^{\sigma-1/2} \exp(-\frac{\pi}{2}|t|))$, where the constant in the big O depends only on the interval $\sigma \in [a, b]$ with $s = \sigma + it$.

Therefore it follows: $g(|t|) = O(|t|^{r_1 2 \frac{\sigma}{2}} |t|^{r_2 2\sigma}) = O(|t|^{\sigma[k:\mathbb{Q}]})$. We had $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 1 + \epsilon$. This means that in the strip $\sigma \in [-\epsilon, 1 + \epsilon]$ we have $L(s, \chi) = O(|t|^{M_\epsilon})$ and $\zeta_k(s) = O(|t|^{M_\epsilon})$ with $M_\epsilon = (1 + \epsilon)[k:\mathbb{Q}]$. Then the infimum

is obviously $[k:\mathbb{Q}]$. ■

3. PROOF THE MAIN THEOREM

Proof (of theorem 1.1). The theorem of Brauer [7, p.544] states that every character is a finite linear combination $\chi = \sum_l n_l \varphi_l^* - \sum_l m_l \psi_l^*$, where φ_l^* , and ψ_l^* are induced from characters φ_l, ψ_l of degree 1 of subgroups of G .

With this theorem Brauer proved, that $L(z, \chi) = \prod_{l=1}^{m_1} L(z, \varphi_l) / \prod_{l=1}^{n_1} L(z, \psi_l)$, where the series $L(z, \varphi_l)$ and $L(z, \psi_l)$ are Hecke-L-series over number fields contained in K . These are entire functions with the only exception of the Dedekind- ζ -functions which have a simple pole at $z = 1$. Therefore the conditions of Lemma 2.1 are satisfied. Choose the sets $M_\epsilon \subset \mathbb{P}$ according to Lemma 2.2.

Then we have to show that the conditions in Corollary 2 are fulfilled.

If the characters χ_1, \dots, χ_n are not yet a basis of the class functions of G , then add some more characters (for example from the set of irreducible characters of G). Choose additional holomorphic functions f_j , for example constants $\neq 0$, that satisfy the conditions of Lemma 2.2.

As we now have a basis of class functions, every character χ_l^*, ψ_l^* can be expressed as a linear combination of this basis.

We have $|L_{M_\epsilon}(s + 1 - \frac{1}{4k}, \theta, \chi_j) - f_j(s)| < \epsilon$.

Now choose a sequence $\epsilon_n := 1/n$, $y_n := \max M_{n-1}$ ($y_0 := 1$), $\theta_n \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{P}}$ and $M_n \subset \mathbb{P}$ such that Lemma 2.2 with $\epsilon = 1/n$, $y := y_n$ and $M = M_n$ is satisfied. $M_n \subset M_{n+1}$ is a consequence.

Then because of $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_{M_n}(s + 1 - \frac{1}{4k}, \theta_n, \chi_j) = f_j(s)$ and $f_j(s) \neq 0$, we get:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{p \in M_n} \frac{\chi_j(\sigma_p) e^{-2\pi i \theta_p}}{p^{s+1-\frac{1}{4k}}} + \sum_{p \in M_n, \kappa \geq 2} a_p(\chi_j, \theta, \kappa) p^{-\kappa(s+1-\frac{1}{4k})} \right) = \log f_j(s),$$

where the second sum represents an absolutely convergent series for $p \in \mathbb{P}$.

For every character $\chi := \chi_j$ we have

$$L_{M_n}(s+1 - \frac{1}{4k}, \theta, \chi) = \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{m_1} L_{M_n}(s+1 - \frac{1}{4k}, \theta, \varphi_l^*)}{\prod_{l=1}^{n_1} L_{M_n}(s+1 - \frac{1}{4k}, \theta, \psi_l^*)},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \log(L_{M_n}(s+1 - \frac{1}{4k}, \theta, \varphi_l^*)) = \\ \sum_{p \in M_n} \frac{\varphi_l^*(\sigma_p) e^{-2\pi i \theta_p}}{p^{s+1 - \frac{1}{4k}}} + \sum_{p \in M_n, \kappa \geq 2} a_p(\varphi_j^*, \theta, \kappa) p^{-\kappa(s+1 - \frac{1}{4k})}. \end{aligned}$$

As the series $\sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}, \kappa \geq 2} a_p(\varphi_j^*, \theta, \kappa) p^{-\kappa(s+1 - \frac{1}{4k})}$ is absolutely convergent, we only need to show the convergence of

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p \in M_n} \frac{\varphi_l^*(\sigma_p) e^{-2\pi i \theta_p}}{p^{s+1 - \frac{1}{4k}}}.$$

But since φ_l^* is a class function on G and χ_1, \dots, χ_k is a basis of the class functions: $\varphi_l^* = \sum_{j=1}^k r_{j,l} \chi_j$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p \in M_n} \frac{\varphi_l^*(\sigma_p) e^{-2\pi i \theta_p}}{p^{s+1 - \frac{1}{4k}}} = \sum_{j=1}^k r_{j,l} \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p \in M_n} \frac{\chi_j(\sigma_p) e^{-2\pi i \theta_p}}{p^{s+1 - \frac{1}{4k}}} \right).$$

Since we have now proved that the logarithms of the sequences of functions $L_{M_n}(s+1 - \frac{1}{4k}, \theta, \varphi_l^*)$, $L_{M_n}(s+1 - \frac{1}{4k}, \theta, \psi_l^*)$ converge, it is clear that the sequences themselves converge to some holomorphic functions $f_{\varphi_l^*}, f_{\psi_l^*}$ with $f_{\varphi_l^*} \neq 0$ and $f_{\psi_l^*}(s) \neq 0$ on $|s| \leq r$.

Therefore the conditions in Corollary 2 are fulfilled and the theorem is proved. ■

4. CONSEQUENCES

THEOREM 4.6. *Let K_1, \dots, K_r be finite normal extensions of \mathbb{Q} with $K_i \cap K_j = \mathbb{Q}$ for $i \neq j$.*

If for a continuous function $f(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ the following equation

$$\forall_{s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}} f(\zeta_{K_1}(s), \dots, \zeta_{K_r}(s)) = 0$$

holds, then

$$f \equiv 0.$$

Proof. We have [7, p.547]

$$\zeta_K(s) = \zeta(s) \prod_{\chi \neq 1} L(s, \chi)^{\chi(1)},$$

where the product is taken over all non-trivial irreducible characters of the Galois group of the normal extension K/\mathbb{Q} .

These characters χ and the character $1 = \text{id}_{G(K/\mathbb{Q})}$ are a basis of the class functions on the group $G := \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$.

Let K be the smallest field that contains all K_1, \dots, K_r . K is a finite normal extension of \mathbb{Q} . The corresponding irreducible characters of $\text{Gal}(K_j/\mathbb{Q})$ may be regarded as characters of $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ since

$\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = \prod_j \text{Gal}(K_j/\mathbb{Q})$ is a direct product. Let $a \in \mathbb{C}^r$ be any point for which $f(a_1, \dots, a_r) \neq 0$, then there is an open subset $U \subset \mathbb{C}^r$ containing a , on which $f(x_1, \dots, x_r) \neq 0$. Therefore we may suppose that $a_j \neq 0$. By Theorem 1.1 we find for every $\epsilon > 0$ a value $s \in \mathbb{C}$, such that

$\max_{j=1}^r |\zeta_{K_j}(s) - a_j| < \epsilon$, that is $(\zeta_{K_1}(s), \dots, \zeta_{K_r}(s)) \in U$ for small ϵ . This

completes the proof. ■

In general we cannot prove that for different Galois extensions K_j of \mathbb{Q} the corresponding Dedekind- ζ -functions are algebraically independent. For example the field $K := \mathbb{Q}(\xi)$, where ξ is a primitive 8-th root of unity. This extension has 3 different subextensions K_j of degree 2 over \mathbb{Q} . Then we find $\zeta_K \zeta_{\mathbb{Q}}^2 = \zeta_{K_1} \zeta_{K_2} \zeta_{K_3}$ as an algebraic relation.

More generally as $\zeta_K(s) = \zeta(s) \prod_{\chi \neq 1} L(s, \chi)^{\chi(1)}$ for every normal field it is clear that if $G_K := \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ has more normal subgroups than conjugacy classes, then there is a non-trivial algebraic relation between the corresponding ζ -functions.

Further algebraic relations are discussed in the article of Richard Brauer [3].

THEOREM 4.7. *Suppose that we have finite normal extensions K_j/\mathbb{Q} , $j = 1, \dots, n$ and the corresponding functions ζ_{K_j} do not satisfy any non-trivial algebraic relation.*

Then for every continuous function $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ on \mathbb{C}^n the relation $f(\zeta_{K_1}, \dots, \zeta_{K_n}) \equiv 0$ implies $f \equiv 0$.

Proof. To prove this, let K be the minimal subfield of \mathbb{C} containing all K_1, \dots, K_n . We may regard all the characters as characters of $G := \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ as $\text{Gal}(K_j/\mathbb{Q}) \cong G/N_j$ for a unique normal subgroup $N_j \triangleleft G$.

Then for the ζ -functions we have $\zeta_{K_j} = \zeta(s) \prod_{\chi \neq 1} L(s, \chi)^{\chi(1)}$, where the product is taken over all characters χ with $\chi(x) = \chi(1)$ for all $x \in N_j$.

By theorem 1.1 we can approximate all values $y_1 \neq 0, y_\chi \neq 0$ simultaneously by $\zeta(s)$ and the $L(s, \chi)$ by taking a suitable $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$.

To prove the theorem it has to be shown that the same holds for the $X_{K_j} := y_1 \prod_{\chi \neq 1} y_\chi$ (the product is taken only over all characters χ with $\chi(x) = \chi(1)$ for all $x \in N_j$): i.e., every set of non-zero values $X_{K_j}, j = 1, \dots, n$ can be simultaneously approximated.

Taking the logarithms $\log X_{K_j} = \log y_1 + \sum_{\chi \neq 1} \log y_\chi$ (each sum is taken over all χ with $\chi(x) = \chi(1)$ for all $x \in N_j$) the statement is clear if the right sides of these equations are linearly independent.

But if these equations were not linearly independent, then there would be a relation $0 = \sum_{j=1}^n m_j \left(\log y_1 + \sum_{\chi, K_j} \log y_\chi \right)$ with integers $m_j \neq 0$ for all j .

This would result in an algebraic relation $\prod_{j=1}^n \zeta_{K_j}^{m_j}(s) = 1$ between the ζ_{K_j} . ■

THEOREM 4.8. *Let K/\mathbb{Q} be a normal extension, ζ_K the corresponding Dedekind- ζ -function. If $f(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ is any continuous function, then the differential equation $f(\zeta_K, \zeta'_K, \dots, \zeta_K^{(m)}) \equiv 0$ implies $f \equiv 0$.*

Proof. By $\zeta_K = \zeta(s) \prod_{\chi \neq 1} L(s, \chi)^{\chi(1)}$ we may reduce the proof to the proof of the following statement:

For every continuous function g the equation

$g(\zeta(s), \dots, \zeta^{(k)}(s), L(s, \chi), \dots, L^{(k_\chi)}(s, \chi), \dots) \equiv 0$ implies that $g \equiv 0$.

Suppose that $g(a_0, \dots, a_k, a_0\chi, \dots, a_{k_\chi}(\chi), \dots) \neq 0$. Because g is continuous we may assume that $a_0 \neq 0, a_0\chi \neq 0, \dots$ and that on an open set containing $(a_0, \dots, a_k, a_0\chi, \dots, a_{k_\chi}(\chi), \dots)$ the function g is non-zero.

By the continuous dependence of the derivatives of an analytic function on compact domains, which is implied by Cauchy's formula, we only need to approximate the polynomial $a_0 + \frac{a_1}{1!}s + \dots + \frac{a_k}{k!}s^k$ by $\zeta(s+1 - \frac{1}{4k} + it)$ and the polynomials $a_0(\chi) + \frac{a_1(\chi)}{1!}s + \dots + \frac{a_{k_\chi}(\chi)}{k_\chi!}s^{k_\chi}$ by the functions $L(s+1 - \frac{1}{4k} + it, \chi)$ with suitably chosen $t \in \mathbb{R}$ according to theorem 1.1. If a_0 and the $a_0(\chi)$ are nonzero we can always suppose (by choosing $r < \frac{1}{4k}$ sufficiently small) that these polynomials are non-zero in the disc $|s| \leq r$.

As the function g is nonzero in an open set containing the point

$(a_0, \dots, a_0(\chi), \dots)$ this contradicts

$g(\zeta(s), \dots, \zeta^{(k)}(s), L(s, \chi), \dots, L^{(k_\chi)}(s, \chi), \dots) \equiv 0$. ■

REFERENCES

1. Achieser, N.I. Vorlesungen über Approximationstheorie. (German) Mathematische Lehrbücher und Monographien. I. Abt. Band II. Berlin: Akademie-Verlag. (1967).
2. Artin, Emil. Collected papers. Ed. by Serge Lang, John T. Tate. (Unaltered repr. of the 1965 orig., publ. by Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc.).
3. Brauer, Richard. Beziehungen zwischen Klassenzahlen von Teilkörpern eines galoisschen Körpers. Math. Nachr. 4, 158-174 (1951).
4. Karatsuba, Anatolii A. ; Voronin, Sergei M.. The Riemann Zeta-Function. Walter de Gruyter. 1992.
5. Lang, Serge. Algebraic Number Theory. Springer Verlag. 1991.
6. Lavrik, A.F. : Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. Tom. 32 (1968) No. 1. (english).
7. Neukirch, Jürgen. Algebraische Zahlentheorie. Springer Verlag. 1992.
8. Titchmarsh, E.C. The theory of the Riemann zeta-function. 2nd ed., rev. by D. R. Heath-Brown. Oxford (1986). Oxford Science Publications. Oxford: Clarendon Press London: Oxford University Press. (1975)